

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/972,524	Applicant(s) KROCZEK, RICHARD	
	Examiner Jessica H. Roark	Art Unit 1644	

All Participants:
Status of Application: 030

 (1) Jessica H. Roark.

(3) _____.

 (2) Muna Abu-Shaar.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 30 August 2003
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

53-59

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that restriction was required between methods employing an 8F4 polypeptide and an antibody to the 8F4 polypeptide. Applicant made an oral election to prosecute the methods employing an antibody to the 8F4 polypeptide.

The Examiner further noted that based upon the prosecution history in parent application USSN 09/509283 and the Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 by Dr. Kroczeck of record in the instant file, an amendment to bring the claims into the same form as that found in the parent application would appear to place the claims in an allowable form.

Applicant agreed to file a preliminary amendment to limit the claims to the elected invention and to provide claim language that paralleled that of the parent application. .